	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/780,901	BOEHM, CHARLENE A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Chalene Boehm</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 April 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: Proposed claims 1-2, 4-7 and 9-10		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Mayoris G. Horas		
	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that the claims filed in the amendment of 3/14/06 contained new issues relative the those pending before the final office action, therefore the amendment would not be entered. The examiner stated she was also concerned over the statutory time period for response and a desire to thoroughly evaluate the prior art in view of the proposed amended claims. However, she stated that the proposed claims did not appear to contain new matter.